

# Piloting HIV self-testing strategies for sexual partners of MSM, female and transgender sex workers

# Nino Tsereteli Center for Information and Counseling on Reproductive Health – Tanadgoma Georgia



# **Overview**

Project "**Piloting HIV self-testing strategies for partners of the key populations**" was funded by WEEPI foundation and implemented from August 2020 till November 2021.

Collaborators:

- National Center for Disease Control and Public Health
- Georgian Association of Dermato-Venerology
- CSO "Equality Movement"
- CSO "Queer Association Temida"



# Background

HIV prevalence:

- among MSM population 13-20%
- among female sex workers up to 1.5%
- no prevalence data was available at the time for transgenders

Sex work is one of the major risks for HIV transmission to the general population:

- 6.6% of MSM are practicing sex work
- it is main source of income for transgenders

HIV testing practice is 60% among MSM and up to 31,5% - among FSWs. HIV self-testing is currently available for IDUs, female sex workers and MSM population, but is not tailored to their sexual partners.



# **Research objectives**

The research aimed at finding out whether it is feasible and acceptable to offer and use HIV self-tests in a medical facility for adult men using services of sex worker women, men and transgender women, and how do they prefer to return their test results.

Main research questions were:

- What are the ways to reach sexual partners of MSM, female and transgender sex workers;
- What are the acceptable ways of HIV self-testing provision/delivery;
- To what extent target population will perform self-testing and give feedback on testing procedure.
- Whether intervention (follow-up reminders from the healthcare provider) can increase self-test uptake and notification on self-test results.



# Methods:

**Mixed**, both qualitative - Participatory Action Research and interviews and quantitative - a randomized pragmatic trial.

**Ethics approval:** Research Ethics Council of the Faculty of Science and Arts of Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

**Participants:** at least 18 y.o., conversant in Georgian, willingness to participate and interest in HIV self-testing, not planning to go out of the city in the next 2 weeks, and report of a sexual contact with a sex worker (female, male, transgender) during the last 6 months.



# Methods:

**Procedures:** clinical base of Georgian Association of Dermato-Venerologists; convenience sampling.

Randomization scheme: generated by using the website Randomization.com.

**Instruments:** Three paper-based structured questionnaires, two interviewer-administered and one – self-administered.

**Data analysis:** Atlas TI (version 19), SPSS software (version 26)

# **Participatory action research results:** Mapping of standard HIV testing services





# Participatory action research results: Mapping of HIV self-testing delivery





# **Qualitative research results:**



# Ways of providing HIV self-tests – possibilities to access/ alternative means:

- From the clinics
- From the offices of NGOs/community organizations
- Clubs
- For free from pharmacies
- Vending machines
- Online orders delivery by post or through delivery services
- Dating applications

# Ways of returning HIV self-test results:

- The necessity of prior agreement on results submission
- SMS
- Phone call
- Messenger and/or Viber
- Going to HIV self-test pick-up point and reporting the results

The qualitative research demonstrated that the study population would prefer to receive HIV self-test at the clinics.



# **Design of the pragmatic trial**



# Study process flow



Field implementation period:	27.07.2021 - 15.11.2021
Field implementation location:	National Center Of Dermatology And Venereology
Offer to participate:	237
Agreed to participate:	163
Inclusion in the survey: Intervention group A Control group B	55 (49) 54 (47)
Main questionnaire filled by:	109
Self-administered questionnaire filled by:	100
Agreed to received a self-test:	100
Feedback questionnaire filled by:	96
Results notification:	
About conducting self-test:	88
About result of the self-test:	76

### **Results:** Socio-demographic characteristics





# **Results: Socio-demographic characteristics**





#### Identification

# Heterosexual Homosexual Bisexual Don't know

#### Contact with a sex workers during the last 6 months



# **Results:** HIV-related knowledge and behavior



Has heard of HIV/AIDS



#### Being ever tested for HIV



# **Results:** HIV-related knowledge and behavior





Personal risk assessment

A positive association was found between individual risk perception and HIV testing rate  $(X^2(4, N=109) = 18.034, p=0.001)$ .

# **Results:** HIV self-testing





Ways of getting information about HIV self-



Has heard about HIV self-testing/contacts with sex workers during the last 6 months



# **Results:** HIV self-testing and reporting test results



No

86%



Does not want to answer

89%

# **Results: Satisfaction of respondents**





Was it difficult to conduct the self-test?

# Main findings:



- Analysis conducted did not show any statistically significant difference between the randomized groups in terms of HIV self-test uptake (X2(2, N=88) =1.118, p=0.572), as well as reporting back the self-test results (X2(2, N=76) =1.349, p=0.509).
- Awareness level on HIV self-testing is low but after being informed about it they like the idea and take the test
- Clinic is a preferred place for sexual partners of sex workers to get self-tests
- Preferred way for reporting of the test results is phone call
- Buying HIV self-tests from the pharmacies for a moderate price is an acceptable way for getting HIV self-tests



## **Conclusion:**

Despite the hypothesis that intervention (follow up by the clinician in a form of reminder) would increase uptake of test and reporting of the results did not prove valid, the study proved feasibility and high acceptance of both HIV self-test provision through clinical setting and strategy for reporting the test results.

# Recommendations

- Maximize access to testing for the general population via introduction of cost saving HIV self-testing programs
  - Predominantly through the clinical settings
  - Selling in pharmacies
- The need for partner-focused HIV prevention
  - Targeted distribution among "partners"
  - Promoting adherence (uptake of testing and provision of results)
- Provider initiated HIV testing with brief intervention
  - Provider initiated HIV testing practice improvement with appropriate implementation



# **Authors:**

Nino Tsereteli Kakhaber Kepuladze Lela Kurdghelashvili Irina Bregvadze

Center for Information and Counseling on Reproductive Health - Tanadgoma

#### Irma Kirtadze

Sr. Researcher, Addiction Research Center Alternative Georgia, Associate professor, Ilia State University