Prevalence of HIV infection and acceptability of rapid HIV testing in patients attending emergency services

Laura Fernàndez (1,2); Cristina Agustí (1,2); Lluís Force (3); Manel Daza (3); Jordi Casabona J (1,2).

1. Centre d'Estudis Epidemiològics sobre les ITS i SIDA de Catalunya (CEEISCAT), ICO/Catalan Health Department, Badalona, Spain. || 2. CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain. || 3. Hospital de Mataró, Mataró, Spain.

Introduction:

Early detection of HIV infected people has several benefits from the point of view of the individual and from the point of view of public health. However, many infected individuals are unaware of their HIV-positive status and many of them remain undiagnosed until they present with an AIDS-related condition or a low CD4 cell count, when treatment is less effective and health outcomes less favorable.

Non-targeted HIV rapid tests screening in health cares settings has been promoted in the U.S. (following CDC recommendations) to lower the number of undiagnosed infections and to improve early detection. The U.K. and, recently, France have adopted also this strategy. However, the guidelines of the WHO / UNAIDS (2008) recommend offering the test to populations with more likely to be infected, to increase the positive predictive value of HIV testing and cost-effectiveness.

Emergency services represent an important health care source for the population, including subgroups that might not be reached in other health care settings, while being at higher risk of undiagnosed HIV infection, so is a good setting to assess non-targeted HIV-RT screening of the general population.

Having the rapid HIV test in the Emergency Services could increase the number of tests performed by making easier their realization, increasing the acceptability to the patient, and allowing the patient to know the preliminary result although he doesn't come back for the result.

Objective:

To study the acceptability of rapid testing among patients attending emergency services and to estimate the HIV infection prevalence in that population.

Methods:

This interventional study was conducted in the Emergency Department of the Hospital of Mataró (Barcelona), from July 2010 to March 2013. Two nurses offered oral rapid HIV test to patients aged 18 to 64 years attending the ED, who were able to provide consent for HIV testing. Exclusion criteria were self-reported HIV infection and inability to provide consent. The recruitment of participants was performed by a nurse after the patient triage. The sample calculated was 3,000 patients tested.

Results:

During the study period 2140 patients were offered the test (Figure 1). Of those, 107 refused (5%) and 2033 were tested. 3 of them obtained a reactive result. One patient, who was in the window period at the moment of testing, repeated the test 3 months later in the hospital HIV service, obtaining a positive result. Taking into account also this positive result, the percentage of reactive results was 0.2%. Almost the half of people tested was men (49.2%), the median age was 37.51 (S.D. 13.8), 14.5% were immigrants and 31.6% had a previous HIV test (Table 1). 80.5% thought rapid test is more comfortable than conventional test, and 74.4% preferred rapid test with oral fluid than with finger stick. 91.7% would recommend the test to a friend, and 96.0% thought that offer HIV testing in ED is appropriate. Those who not accepted the HIV test were older and with a lower studies level than those who accepted (p<0.005) (Table 2).

Conclusions:

The results obtained show that rapid HIV screening in ED is acceptable and feasible, but the benefit of non-targeted screening was only modest, with a percentage of reactive tests of 0.2%. Therefore, these results do not support the implementation of HIV screening in emergency services.



Generalitat de Catalunya Agència de Salut Pública de Catalunya



Table 1. Description of population tested.

Total		
2032	999	49.2%
2026	293	14.5%
2033	643	31.6%
2031	1969	96.9%
1875	534	28.5%
2000	24	1.2%
2029	17	0.8%
1873	21	1.1%
1873	3	0.2%
1873	4	0.2%
2030	1634	80.5%
2032	1511	74.4%
2032	1863	91.7%
2031	1341	66.0%
	2032 2026 2033 2031 1875 2000 2029 1873 1873 1873 1873 2030 2032 2032 2032	2032 999 2026 293 2033 643 2031 1969 1875 534 2000 24 2029 17 1873 21 1873 3 1873 4 2030 1634 2032 1511 2032 1863

 $\mbox{Table 2.}$ Comparation of patient swho accepted the test vs. Patients who don't accepted it. .

	Accept	en la prova	No acce	pten la prova	р
Edat	Mean 38,60	(S.D. 13,08)	Mean 44,4	1 (S.D. 13,27)	p<0,005
Sexe	N= 2032	%	N= 107	%	p=0,91
Home	999	49,2%	52	48,6%	
Dona	1033	50,8%	55	51,4%	
Grups d'edat	N= 1947		N= 103		
<20	130	6,7%	4	3,9%	
21-35	728	37,4%	23	22,3%	
36-50	642	33,0%	35	34,0%	
>50	447	23,0%	41	39,8%	
Nivell d'estudis	N=2024		N=57		p<0,005
Sense formació	89	4,4%	6	10,5%	
Primaris	677	33,4%	35	61,4%	
Secundaris	881	43,5%	13	22,8%	
Universitaris	377	18,6%	3	5,3%	
Origen	N=2026		N=102		p=0,06
Espanyol	1733	85,5%	94	92,2%	
No espanyol	293	14,5%	8	7,8%	



Centre d'Estudis Epidemiològics sobre les Infeccions de Transmissió Sexual i Sida de Catalunya